Management of complex primary and secondary rhinoplasty cases is challenging. Reconstruction of the nasal osseocartilaginous framework often requires a substantial amount of cartilage, necessitating the use of grafting materials when there is inadequate native nasal cartilage. Autologous cartilage grafts are often preferred in these instances because of their low rates of infection and extrusion compared to alloplastic materials. Each autologous cartilage graft donor site—nasal septum, ear, and rib—offers distinct advantages and
disadvantages that must be carefully weighed by the surgeon and the patient. The optimal source of cartilage grafts would minimize the risks of resorption, infection, and warping while limiting donor-site morbidity.\(^1,2\)

The advent of terminally sterilized allografts has created a paradigm shift in grafting materials that avoids donor-site morbidity.\(^3\) It is important to note, however, that terminal sterilization involves treating individually processed grafts with a high dosage of gamma radiation (usually >25 KgY). Compared to autologous costal graft cartilage, terminally sterilized homologous costal cartilage has a significantly higher resorption rate.\(^4\)

To avoid donor-site morbidity and mitigate the risks of postoperative complications, fresh frozen, aseptically processed, and nonterminally sterilized costal cartilage segment allografts (CCSAs) have emerged, which are readily available, and have demonstrated safety and efficacy in several studies.\(^2,5,6\) This study reports the early experience of a single surgeon performing complex primary and secondary rhinoplasty procedures using fresh frozen, aseptically processed, and nonterminally sterilized CCSAs, in Canada.

**METHODS**

**Study Design and Sample**

A retrospective chart review was performed in all patients who underwent rhinoplasty using CCSA by a single surgeon in Canada. Written consent was provided, by which the patients agreed to the use and analysis of their data. This study was approved by the WCG IRB Connexus, and the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki were adhered to.

**Data Collection Methods**

All patients who received fresh frozen, aseptically processed, and nonterminally sterilized CCSAs produced by MTF Biologics (Profile, MTF, Edison, NJ) during primary or secondary rhinoplasty surgery, between June 2019 and April 2022, were included. These CCSAs are intended to be used when there is inadequate cartilage from the patient’s nasal septum. Demographic and procedural characteristics were reviewed for each patient.

**Allograft Treatment and Properties**

MTF applies strict donor screening criteria and utilizes a unique aseptic process and sterilization technique for its rib cartilage allografts that avoid terminal sterilization and meet USP (71) Sterility Tests. Donors must be <55 years old, test negative for human immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis B and C, and must not have sepsis or active malignancy. CCSAs are recovered from the donors’ ribs, aseptically processed and packaged, and kept frozen.

Subsequent treatment involves debridement of excess soft tissue and trimming the costal cartilage allograft to an appropriate segment shape and size. The allografts are rinsed with a light surfactant to separate blood, lipid, and cellular components from the donated tissue and treated with an antibiotic solution to disinfect them of any pathogens or their remnants. Once these preparations are complete, the costal cartilage is rinsed again and aseptically packaged.
under strictly controlled conditions. Representative sample specimens undergo sensitive tests for microbes before being sealed and frozen at temperatures ranging from −40°C to −80°C. These cold conditions are sustained during transport with dry ice, and the CCSAs are thawed to room temperature prior to safe implantation.2

Operative Technique and Perioperative Care

Rhinoplasty is performed under general anesthesia as a day surgery procedure.8 Preoperative surgical prophylactic antibiotics are given, but postoperative prophylactic antibiotics are not routinely used. The open approach with structural cartilage grafting is performed.9 In this study, only large Profile Costal Cartilage segments were used as opposed to precut sheets. Balanced cross sectional cartilage carving techniques were used. It is important to carve the segments in the correct orientation using more central sheets which have less potential to warp (Figure 1). Peripheral sheets have much more tendency toward warping. With reference to the native anatomic position, the CCSA should be carved into sheets with cuts made in the anterior-posterior orientation as opposed to the cranial-caudal orientation.

Postoperatively, patients have sutures, and external and internal nasal splints in place for 1 week. Patients have routine follow-up at 1, 2, 4 to 6 weeks, and after 1 year. Clinical findings and patient satisfaction data were collected at each visit.

RESULTS

Between June 2019 and April 2022, a total of 21 patients (17 female and 4 male patients) were identified and included. The mean age was 39 years (range, 27-58 years), and the mean body mass index was 23.7 kg/m². Of the 21 surgeries, 11 were primary (52.4%) and 10 were secondary (47.6%) rhinoplasties. The types of primary cases requiring CCSA included posttraumatic rhinoplasty, ethnic rhinoplasty, rhinoplasty requiring dorsal augmentation, and rhinoplasty with preexisting septal perforations. The average length of surgery was 185 min (range, 85-330 min), and the mean follow-up time was 15.0 months (range, 2.0-37.8 months). Detailed demographic information is included in Table 1. The types of grafts fashioned from the MTF allograft are outlined in Table 2 (Figure 2).

Among all 21 patients, none reported any serious complications. The aesthetic outcomes were positive, and 19 patients (90.5%) reported being “very satisfied” with the results (Figures 3, 4), while 2 patients (9.5%) reported being “satisfied” but requested revision surgery to address minor aesthetic concerns. There were no infections or signs suspicious for infection with the CCSAs. At follow-up visits, there was no evidence of aesthetic deformity secondary to graft warping. Only 1 patient (4.8%) experienced some resorption when the CCSA was modified to be used as diced cartilage wrapped in autologous temporal fascia for dorsal augmentation. Two patients (9.5%) underwent revision surgery under general anesthesia to further improve the aesthetic result. At revision surgery, previously placed CCSAs were observed to be intact in both patients (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to further evaluate the safety and efficacy of fresh frozen, aseptically processed, and nonterminally sterilized CCSAs in complex primary and secondary rhinoplasty cases performed in Canada. All donor tissue was sourced from the United States and used in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Patient Demographics for Rhinoplasty With Use of a CCSA (n = 21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient demographic/procedural characteristic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of surgery, minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most recent follow-up, months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of previous rhinoplasties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; CCSAs, costal cartilage segment allografts; n, number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Graft Types Carved From CCSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graft type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columellar strut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alar contour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal onlay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended spreader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splinting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infratip shield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral crural strut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diced cartilage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCSA, costal cartilage segment allografts.
Canada. We did not experience any difficulty with obtaining the CCSAs from the United States for use in Canada. Our early experience has been favorable, with CCSAs providing stable structural support and predictable results at short/mid-term follow-up. Although this is a small clinical series, complications were rare with no evidence of infection or warping of the CCSA, and resorption was not clinically appreciable when the CCSA was carved into sheets. However, 1 patient experienced resorption when the CCSA was diced and wrapped in autologous temporal fascia for dorsal augmentation. Two patients underwent revision surgery for further aesthetic refinement, but this was not attributed to a failure of the CCSA; these aesthetic issues were not secondary to warping or resorption of the CCSA. These findings offer further support for the use of CCSA in managing patients who lack sufficient native nasal cartilage to create a structurally stable nasal osseocartilaginous framework.

In comparison to our experience, a recent study evaluated the safety and efficacy of CCSA with a similar sample size (26 vs 21 patients) and mean follow-up time (15.9 vs 15.0 months) of patients undergoing primary or revision rhinoplasty. Importantly, Rogal et al noted a 3.6% complication rate among its cohort, which is consistent with terminally sterilized allografts and higher than documented rates of autologous septal and auricular cartilage grafts (<2%). In contrast, we reported no complications in our cohort using CCSA, which provides encouraging results compared to both terminally irradiated allografts and autologous grafts.

Furthermore, the findings of our study are in accordance with other studies supporting the use of CCSAs. Mohan et al reported good perioperative aesthetic

Figure 3. A 32-year-old female is shown (A, C, E, G) preoperatively. She has very thick skin, asymmetric dorsal aesthetic lines, and dorsal fullness and columellar and alar retraction. She has an underprojected, underrotated, asymmetric, bulbous tip. An open approach was used with component dorsal hump reduction and septal reconstruction. Bilateral osteotomies were performed. More graft material was required so costal cartilage segment allografts (CCSAs) was used for graft solution. (I) A caudal septal extension graft sutured to the septum with bilateral splinting grafts was used to lengthen the nose and increase tip projection; the splinting grafts anteriorly were from septal cartilage and posteriorly were from CCSA. The dorsum was reconstituted using bilateral autospreader flaps. The medial crura were sutured to a caudal septal extension graft. Tip shape and symmetry was achieved using a paradomal cephalic trim, and transdomal and interdomal sutures. (J) A morselized septal butterfly graft was placed across the infratip extending into the soft tissue triangles. Alar contour grafts fashioned from CCSA were placed. (B, D, F, H) The patient is shown 15 months postoperatively.
outcomes, no incidences of warping and/or resorption, and only 1 incidence of infection among 50 patients. In their 9-year retrospective study, Rohrich et al\(^6\) noted that 2.7% (\(n = 226\)) of patients experienced warping and infection, while none reported displacement or extrusion of the graft.

Traditional methods for reconstructing the nasal osseocartilaginous framework with limited native nasal cartilage have included alloplastic and autogenous cartilage grafts. Alloplastic implants are useful in practice because they offer prefabrication methods, minimal absorption, no donor-site morbidity, and precise carving.\(^6\) However, the incidence of infection and implant extrusion is a significant disadvantage.\(^6,13,16\) Septal cartilage grafts are versatile and convenient to harvest, suited for both onlay and structural grafts;\(^12,16,17\) however, their limited supply may be insufficient for complex primary and secondary rhinoplasties, as well as reconstructive posttraumatic cases.\(^12,17\) Harvesting cartilage from the ear is the next best approach considering that the donor site lies in the same surgical field and leaves an inconspicuous scar;\(^13\) however, the cartilage’s intrinsic curvature and structural frailty limit its use for specific types of grafts used to provide rigid structural support such as columellar strut grafts.\(^18–20\) Importantly, secondary rhinoplasties require additional support to resist the contractile forces exerted by the surrounding soft tissue caused by iatrogenic scarring. Thus, a patient’s costal cartilage affords a graft with rigid structural support and is abundant in supply, which deems it more appropriate for secondary

Figure 4. A 43-year-old male is shown (A, C, E, G) preoperatively after previous septorhinoplasty. He has thin skin, dorsal deviation to the right, and alar retraction. He has an asymmetric, boxy tip with infratip lobular excess. He also has an intranasal synchia. An open approach was used with component approach to the dorsum to release the deforming forces and allow access to the septum. The intranasal synchia was divided. There was no septum remaining for graft material, so costal cartilage segment allografts (CCSAs) were used for all grafting. The dorsum was reconstituted using a left extended spreader graft, and this was sutured to a caudal septal extension graft. The caudal septal extension graft was sutured to the caudal septum and the anterior nasal spine. Clocking sutures from the left upper lateral cartilage were sutured to the septum-spreader graft complex to further correct the rightward dorsal deviation. The right upper lateral cartilage was sutured to a columellar strut graft. Tip shape and symmetry was achieved using a left paradomal cephalic trim and transdomal and interdomal sutures. A long horn graft was placed across the infratip extending into the soft tissue triangles. The lateral crura were released from the vestibular mucosa and underlay lateral crural strut grafts were placed to address the recurvature of the lateral crura. (J) Alar contour grafts were placed. (B, D, F, H) The patient is shown 2 years postoperatively.
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Figure 5. This 53-year-old female patient underwent revision rhinoplasty 1 year following secondary rhinoplasty using costal cartilage segment allografts (CCSAs). The allografts were observed to be intact during revision rhinoplasty. The right alar contour graft carved from CCSA is shown 1 year following its original placement.

CONCLUSIONS

Our early experience in Canada using fresh frozen, aseptically processed, and nonterminally sterilized CCSAs for complex primary and secondary rhinoplasties when inadequate autologous cartilage is available from the nose has yielded favorable results. This allograft avoids donor-site morbidity while maintaining a low complication rate and provides an off-the-shelf alternative to the use of autologous costal cartilage.
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